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Part I

- OMG's technology adoption process
- UML 1.4, 1.5, 2.0
- UML 2.0 in MDA
- The current status of UML 2.0
OMG's Technology Adoption Process

1. Optional RFI stage
   - OMG in 1999 proposed UML's修订信息需求
   - Responses from 26 domains with most suggesting major revisions

2. TF issues RFP, evaluates submissions
   - OMG released UML2.0 in 2000 with four-part proposal

3. Voting to Adopt an OMG specification

4. Finalization - getting ready for prime time
   - October this year passed Superstructure's final formal version
   - Remaining parts still in final revision stage

5. The OMG specification maintenance Cycle

6. Retiring Obsolete Specifications
UML 2.0 RFPs

Superstructure

Defines **user-level constructs** to specify structure and behavior of systems (e.g., class diagrams, sequence diagrams)

Infrastructure

Defines **basic constructs** to specify UML and to customize it for specific domains (e.g., real-time)

Diagram Interchange

OCL
UML 2.0 Infrastructure 设计目标

- 定义一个元语言的核心 – 基础结构库(InfrastructureLibrary)通过对此核心的复用，除了可以定义一个自展的UML元模型之外，还可以定义其他元模型，包括MOF和CWM；
- 通过共用核心包，UML和MOF、CWM在体系结构上保持一致。
Goals of UML 2.0 Superstructure

- Restructure and refine the language to make it easier to apply, implement and customize
- Improve support for component-based development
  - specify both platform-independent components (e.g., business components) and platform-specific components (e.g., EJB, COM+)
- Refine architectural specification capabilities
  - support hierarchical composition of parts with interfaces (compare SDL blocks and processes)
- Increase the scalability, precision and integration of behavioral diagrams
  - augment sequence diagrams with advanced constructs from MSCs that can be combined and integrated with other behavior
  - update state machines diagrams to make generalizable and able to support a transition-centric view
  - revise activity diagrams to support more flexible parallelism and furnish more I/O options
  - support executable models
- Review all UML 1.x constructs and diagrams
UML 2.0 Superstructure 设计目标

- 严格地复用Infrastructure中的模型构造物；
- 既可以描述平台无关构件（如业务构件），也可以描述平台相关构件（如EJB，COM+等），提高对基于构件开发和MDA的支持；
- 支持接口、部件和连接子，及其层次化组合能力，从而提高对架构的规约能力；
- 增强行为图的可伸缩性、精确性和集成能力；
- 支持可执行模型；
- 审定所有UML1.x的构造物和图，适当地精化、取缔和逐步淘汰。
UML 2.0, The Current Official Version:

- UML 2.0 Superstructure [formal/05-07-04]
  - is complete - stable since it took its adopted form in October, 2004.
- UML 2.0 Infrastructure [ptc/04-10-14]
- UML 2.0 Diagram Interchange [ptc/05-06-04]
- UML 2.0 OCL [ptc/05-06-06]

Pages Statistic:
- Infrastructure: 226 pages
- Superstructure: 709 pages
- Diagram Interchange: 82 pages
- OCL: 185 pages
MDA的核心规范：
- Meta Object Facility
  - MOF current version 1.4
- Unified Modeling Language
  - UML current version 1.5
- Common Warehouse Metamodel
  - CWM current version 1.0
- XML Metadata Interchange
  - XMI current version 2.1
The Role of UML & MOF in The MDA

- The following was approved unanimously by 17 participants at the ORMSC:

Any modeling language used in MDA must be described *in terms of the MOF language*, to enable the metadata to be understood in a standard manner, which is a precondition for any ability to perform automated transformations.

ORMSC plenary session
August 26, 2004
Part II

- UML 2.0 Superstructure Specification
- Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0
UML 2.0 Superstructure

- **Part I: Structure**
  - Classes
  - Components
  - Composite Structures
  - Deployments

- **Part II: Behavior**
  - Actions
  - Activities
  - Common Behaviors
  - Interactions
  - State Machines
  - Use Cases

- **Part III: Supplement**
  - Auxiliary Constructs
  - Profiles

- **Part IV – Annexes**
  - A - Diagrams
  - B - UML Keywords
  - C - Standard Stereotypes

UML 1.5 *formal/03-03-01*

- **1. UML Summary**
- **2. UML Semantics**
  - Part 1 - Background
  - Part 2 - Foundation
  - Part 3 - Behavioral Elements
  - Part 4 - General Mechanisms
  - Part 5 - Actions
- **3. UML Notation Guide**
  - Part 1 - Background
  - Part 2 - Diagram Elements
  - Part 3 – Model Management
  - Part 4 - General Extension Mechanisms
  - Part 5 - Static Structure Diagrams
  - Part 6 - Use Case Diagrams
  - Part 7 - Interaction Diagrams
  - Part 8 - Collaboration Diagrams
  - Part 9 - Statechart Diagrams
  - Part 10 - Activity Diagrams
- **4. UML Example Profiles**
- **5. UML Model Interchange**
Language Units

- The modeling concepts of UML are grouped into *language units*.
- For example, the State Machines language unit enables modelers to specify discrete event-driven behavior using a variant of the well-known statecharts formalism.
- Two benefits:
  - easy to learn and use
  - easy to define compliance levels
**Compliance Levels**

- **Level 0 (L0)** - This level is formally defined in the UML Infrastructure.
- **Level 1 (L1)** - It adds language units for use cases, interactions, structures, actions, and activities.
- **Level 2 (L2)** - It adds language units for deployment, state machine modeling, and profiles.
- **Level 3 (L3)** - This level represents the complete UML.
与UML 1.x相比，UML 2.0将结构元素和行为元素结合起来建模的能力大大增强；

UML 2.0支持13种图(UML 1.x支持9种图):

- Class Diagram
- Composite Structure Diagram
- Component Diagram
- Deployment diagram
- Object Diagram
- Package Diagram
- Activity Diagram
- Communication Diagram
- Interaction Overview Diagram
- State Machine Diagram
- Sequence Diagram
- Timing Diagram
- Use Case Diagram
Changes from 1.x

- Add four diagrams;
- Renamed two diagrams:
  - 原来的协作图("Collaboration Diagrams")改名为通讯图("Communication Diagrams")，但语义并没有丰富到与顺序图等价的地步；
  - 原来的状态图("Statechart Diagrams")改名为状态机图("State Machine Diagrams")，解决了1.x中状态图和状态机的语义重叠、模糊不清的问题。
Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0

- **Structure Diagram**
  - Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram
  - Component Diagram
  - Deployment diagram
  - Object Diagram
  - Package Diagram

- **Behavior Diagram**
  - Activity Diagram
  - Use Case Diagram
  - State Machine Diagram
  - Communication Diagram
  - Sequence Diagram
  - Interaction Overview Diagram
  - Timing Diagram
One of the most significant new features in UML 2 is the ability to hierarchically decompose a class into an *internal structure*.

This allows you to take a complex object and break it down into parts.

The core constructs of Composite Structures are:

- Part
- Connector
- Port
Example 1 – TV (1)

Fig. 1. Two ways of showing a TV viewer and its interfaces
Example 1 – TV (2)

- Internal view of a component (example suggested by Jim Rumbaugh)

- The internal structure shows how a class can be broken down into two parts and which parts support and require different interfaces.

- This diagram illustrates that each TV viewer contains a control part and a generator part.
You can add ports to the external structure

- ports allow you to group the required and provided interfaces
- to show logical interactions that a component has with the outside world
When to Use Composite Structures

- Compare with *Packages*
  - packages are a compile-time grouping
  - composite structures show runtime groupings
- Natural fit for showing components and how they are broken into parts
- Much of this notation is used in component diagrams ([Martin Flower](https://example.com)).
Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0

- **Structure Diagram**
  - Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram
  - Component Diagram
  - Deployment diagram
  - Object Diagram
  - **Package Diagram**

- **Behavior Diagram**
  - Activity Diagram
  - Use Case Diagram
  - State Machine Diagram
  - Communication Diagram
  - Sequence Diagram
  - Interaction Overview Diagram
  - Timing Diagram
Package Diagrams

- A *package* is a grouping construct that allows you to take any construct in the UML and group its elements together into higher-level units.
- Each package represents a **namespace**.
- Use double colons to show package names in UML:
  - System::Date
  - Subsystem::Util::Date
Packages and Dependencies

Fig. 4. Package diagram for an enterprise application
Implementing Packages

- It's quite common for an interface and its implementation to be in separate packages

Fig. 5. A package implemented by other packages
Implementing Packages

Fig. 6. Defining a required interface in a client package

This is an example of the pattern *Separated Interface*. 
Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0

- **Structure Diagram**
  - Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram
  - Component Diagram
  - Deployment diagram
  - Object Diagram
  - Package Diagram

- **Behavior Diagram**
  - Activity Diagram
  - Use Case Diagram
  - State Machine Diagram
  - Communication Diagram
  - Sequence Diagram
  - Interaction Overview Diagram
  - Timing Diagram
Behavior Diagrams

Part II: Behavior

11. Actions
12. Activities
13. Common Behaviors
14. Interactions
15. State Machines
16. Use Cases

(cite in Table of Contents)
The UML packages that support behavioral modeling, along with the structure packages they depend upon (CompositeStructures and Classes) are shown in the figure above.
Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0

- **Structure Diagram**
  - Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram
  - Component Diagram
  - Deployment diagram
  - Object Diagram
  - Package Diagram

- **Behavior Diagram**
  - Activity Diagram
  - Use Case Diagram
  - State Machine Diagram
  - Communication Diagram
  - Sequence Diagram
  - Interaction Overview Diagram
  - Timing Diagram
Activity Diagrams

- Activity diagrams are a technique to describe *procedural logic, business process, and work flow.*
- Activity diagrams play a role similar to *flowcharts*
  - the principal difference between them and flowchart notation is that they support *parallel behavior*
- Activity diagrams have seen some of the biggest changes over the versions of the UML, so they have, not surprisingly, been significantly extended and altered again for UML 2
Activity Modeling

- Activities are behaviors that emphasize the sequence and conditions for executing other behaviors.
  - Secondary constructs show classifiers responsible for those behaviors.

- The core constructs in an Activity include:
  - Nodes: Action, Object, Control, Parameter
  - Edges: Control and Object Flows

- Typical applications of Activities are process modeling in a wide variety of domains:
  - Computational
  - Business
  - Physical
  - Systems
  - Requirements
Action/Activity Integration

- Eliminate overlapping semantics, create synergy.
- Data/control flow model of actions is replaced with the more general flow model of activities.
  - Procedure replaced with Activity.
- Behavior invocation of Activities is replaced with the more general action model.
  - InvocationNode replaced with Action.
- Composite actions become structured nodes, replace IterationGroups.
- Map/FilterAction replaced with ExpansionRegion, IterationAction with LoopNode, ReduceAction removed.
- Activities define the flow graph (procedure), Actions define the nodes that perform behaviors. Collection actions folded into flow model.
Action/Activity Example

update_account

Deposit → Account

Account → Get Balance

Get Balance → +

+ → Set Balance

Set Balance → Send Notice

Send Notice → ×

Amount → Deposit

Tian Zhang @ Nanjing University
Change Highlights

- Queuing:
  - Tokens can
    - stack up in “in/out” boxes
    - backup in network
    - prevent upstream behaviors from taking new inputs
  - Applicable to systems with significant resource constraints, such as physical or manual processes.
Change Highlights

- Parallelism in UML1 activities:

```
Trace: A, B||X, C||Y, Z
```
Change Highlights

- Parallelism in UML2 activities:

```
Trace: A, (B,C), Z  || (X,Y)
```
Change Highlights

- Unrestricted flow patterns in UML2 activities:

Trace: $A, (B,C), Z \parallel (X,Y) \parallel N$
Decomposing an Action

Actions can be decomposed into subactivities.
Partitions

- If you want to show who does what, you can divide an activity diagram into partitions.
- In UML 2, you can use a two-dimensional grid.
Signals

- Actions can also respond to signals
- A *time signal* occurs because of the passage of time
- A signal indicates that the activity receives an event from an outside process
- As well as accepting signals, we can send them
Example – signal (1)
Example – signal (2)
Tokens

- The initial node creates a token, which then passes to the next action, which executes and then passes the token to the next
- At a fork, one token comes in, and the fork produces a token on each of its outward flows
- on a join, as each inbound token arrives, nothing happens until all the tokens appear at the join
- then a token is produced on the outward flow
Flows and Edges

- UML 2 uses the terms flow and edge synonymously to describe the connections between two actions
  - The simplest kind of edge is the simple arrow between two actions

Fig. Four ways of showing an edge
Expansion Regions

- An *expansion region* marks an activity diagram area where actions occur once for each item in a collection.
Change Summary

- Integration of actions and activities
- New core constructs added:
  - Pins (input/output, alternative sets)
  - Groupings (structured nodes, interruptible regions)
- UML 1.4 core constructs updated:
  - Edges (token flow)
  - Object nodes (queuing, signals, parameters)
  - Parameters (streaming, exceptions)
  - Partitions (multidimensional, hierarchical, external)
  - Control nodes (fork, join, decision, merge)
  - Activities (attributes, operations, etc.)
When to Use Activity Diagrams

- The great strength of activity diagrams lies in the fact that they support and encourage parallel behavior.
- You can also use an activity diagram as a UML-compliant flowchart.
- In principle, you can take advantages of the forks and joins to describe parallel algorithms for concurrent programs.
- It’s dangerous to use activity diagrams describing use cases, better off with the usual textual form.
Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0

- **Structure Diagram**
  - Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram
  - Component Diagram
  - Deployment diagram
  - Object Diagram
  - Package Diagram

- **Behavior Diagram**
  - Activity Diagram
  - Use Case Diagram
  - State Machine Diagram
  - Communication Diagram
  - Sequence Diagram
  - Interaction Overview Diagram
  - Timing Diagram
Variations in Sequence Diagrams

- 新的顺序图在描述复杂交互的伸缩能力方面有了显著提高，新增了:
  - 交互发生(Interaction occurrence)，允许从一个交互引用到另一个交互，避免了交互的复制;
  - 组合片断(Combined fragment)和交互操作符等，以表示选择、循环、并行、有序、引用等复杂的控制结构;
  - 对生命线(Lifeline)的分解能力(Decomposition)，可以通过实例的内部结构来细化交互过程。
Loops, Conditionals, and the Like

- These are new features in UML 2.0
- The first thing to point out is that (M. Flower):
  - these aren't what sequence diagrams are good at
  - to show control structures, better off with an activity diagram or indeed with code itself
Fig. 7. Interaction frames
Older Conventions in UML 1.x

- UML 1.x used *iteration markers* and *guards*
  - An iteration marker is a * added to the message name. You can add some text in square brackets to indicate the basis of the iteration.
  - Guards are a conditional expression placed in square brackets and indicate that the message is sent only if the guard is true.
  - While these notations have been dropped from sequence diagrams in UML 2, they are still legal on communication diagrams.
we see how \texttt{ACSystem} within \textit{UserAccess} is to be ecomposed to \texttt{AC\_UserAccess}, which is an Interaction owned by class \texttt{ACSystem}.
Part Decomposition

- To hide information, a lifeline can be subdivided into more detailed sequences.
Referencing Sequences

- To avoid unnecessary duplication, it is possible to refer to already existing sequence diagrams
  - a way to quickly create new scenarios (e.g., tests and test suites)
Organizing Sequences

- It is possible to organize sequence diagrams into flows to indicate how they fit together
  - Interaction Overview diagram = interaction diagram + activity diagram
  - combine interactions in different ways to create new scenarios
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alt</td>
<td>Alternative multiple fragments; only the one whose condition is true will execute.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>opt</td>
<td>Optional; the fragment executes only if the supplied condition is true. Equivalent to an alt with only one trace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>par</td>
<td>Parallel; each fragment is run in parallel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loop</td>
<td>Loop; the fragment may execute multiple times, and the guard indicates the basis of iteration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>region</td>
<td>Critical region; the fragment can have only one thread executing it at once.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neg</td>
<td>Negative; the fragment shows an invalid interaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ref</td>
<td>Reference; refers to an interaction defined on another diagram. The frame is drawn to cover the lifelines involved in the interaction. You can define parameters and a return value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
<td>Sequence diagram; used to surround an entire sequence diagram, if you wish.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 1.** Common Operators for Interaction Frames
Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0

- **Structure Diagram**
  - Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram
  - Component Diagram
  - Deployment diagram
  - Object Diagram
  - Package Diagram

- **Behavior Diagram**
  - Activity Diagram
  - Use Case Diagram
  - State Machine Diagram
  - Communication Diagram
  - Sequence Diagram
  - Interaction Overview Diagram
  - Timing Diagram
Interaction Overview Diagrams

- Interaction overview diagrams are a grafting together of activity diagrams and sequence diagrams.
- You can think of interaction overview diagrams as:
  - activity diagrams in which the activities are replaced by little sequence diagrams
  - or, a sequence diagram broken up with activity diagram notation used to show control flow.
Martin Fowler: “I'm not keen on them, as I think that they mix two styles that don't really mix that well.”

Fig. 9. Interaction summary diagram
Interaction Overview Diagram

Interaction with the syntax of Activity Diagram

Interaction Occurrence

Expanded sequence diagram
**Note That**

- Interaction Overview Diagrams focus on the overview of the *flow of control*
- The *Lifelines* and the *Messages* do not appear at this *overview level*
  - 从概观的层次上不能有生命线和消息，但并不意味着在交互(Interaction)片断内部不能出现
  - An Interaction diagram of any kind may appear inline as an ActivityInvocation
Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0

- **Structure Diagram**
  - Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram
  - Component Diagram
  - Deployment diagram
  - Object Diagram
  - Package Diagram

- **Behavior Diagram**
  - Activity Diagram
  - Use Case Diagram
  - State Machine Diagram
  - Communication Diagram
  - Sequence Diagram
  - Interaction Overview Diagram
  - Timing Diagram
Definition in Specification

- Communication Diagrams focus on the interaction between Lifelines where the architecture of the internal structure and how this corresponds with the message passing is central.

- Communication Diagrams correspond to simple Sequence Diagrams that use none of the structuring mechanisms such as InteractionUses and CombinedFragments.

- It is also assumed that message overtaking (i.e., the order of the receptions are different from the order of sending of a given set of messages) will not take place or is irrelevant.

- 通信图着重体现的是交互者之间的交互关系
- messages m1 and m3 being sent concurrently from :r towards two instances of the part s
- sequence numbers show how the other messages are sequenced
When to Use Communication Diagrams

- sequence diagrams are better when you want to emphasize the sequence of calls
- communication diagrams are better when you want to emphasize the links
- *however*, a strong part of the decision is personal preference
Thirteen diagrams in UML 2.0

- **Structure Diagram**
  - Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram
  - Component Diagram
  - Deployment diagram
  - Object Diagram
  - Package Diagram

- **Behavior Diagram**
  - Activity Diagram
  - Use Case Diagram
  - State Machine Diagram
  - Communication Diagram
  - Sequence Diagram
  - Interaction Overview Diagram
  - **Timing Diagram**
Timing Diagram

- Used to show interactions when a primary purpose of the diagram is to reason about time.
- Focus on conditions changing within and among Lifelines along a linear time axis.
A Lifeline for a discrete object

sd UserAcc_User

State or condition

Duration constraint

Lifeline

User

WaitAccess

WaitCard

Idle

Code

CardOut

OK \{t..t+3\}

{d..3*d}

0 1 2 t

tick mark values

event or stimulus

timing ruler
Lifeline (from BasicInteractions, Fragments)

- Description
  - A lifeline represents an individual participant in the Interaction.
  - While Parts and StructuralFeatures may have multiplicity greater than 1, Lifelines represent only one interacting entity.

- Semantics
  - The order of OccurrenceSpecifications along a Lifeline is significant denoting the order in which they will occur.
  - The semantics of the Lifeline (within an Interaction) is the semantics of the Interaction selecting only OccurrenceSpecifications of this Lifeline.

- Lifelines are basically the same concept as before in UML 1.x.
OccurrenceSpecification

- **Description**
  - An OccurrenceSpecification is the basic semantic unit of *Interactions*. The sequences of occurrences specified by them are the meanings of *Interactions*.
  - Occurrence Specifications are ordered along a Lifeline.

- **Semantics**
  - The semantics of an OccurrenceSpecification is just the trace of that single OccurrenceSpecification.
  - The understanding and deeper meaning of the *OccurrenceSpecification* is dependent upon the associated *Message* and the information that it conveys.
Compact Lifeline with States

- Sometimes it is more economical and compact to show the state or condition on the vertical Lifeline.
Timing Diagram with more than one Lifeline and with Messages

- **State or condition**
  - `sd UserAccepted`
  - `WaitAccess`
  - `WaitCard`
  - `Idle`
  - `CardOut {0..13}`
  - `Code`
  - `OK`
  - `Unlock`
  - `NoCard`
  - `HasCard`

- **Lifelines**
  - `User`
  - `ACSystem`

- **Duration Constraints**
  - `{d..3*d}`
  - `{t..t+3}`

- **Duration Observation**
  - `d`

- **Time Constraint**
  - `t=now`

- **Message**

- **Time Observation**

Tian Zhang @ Nanjing University
## Graphic nodes and paths included in timing diagrams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node Type</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or condition timeline</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>This is the state of the classifier or attribute, or some testable condition, such as an discrete enumerable value. It is also permissible to let the state-dimension be continuous as well as discrete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General value lifeline</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>Shows the value of the connectable element as a function of time. Value is explicitly denoted as text. Crossing reflects the event where the value changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeline</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>see “lifeline” page</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This is the state of the classifier or attribute, or some testable condition, such as an discrete enumerable value. It is also permissible to let the state-dimension be continuous as well as discrete.*
Simple scenario - coffee pot

- **Pump**
  - 向壶中注水
- **Hotplate**
  - 给咖啡壶加热

- **Rules of time:**
  - at least 10 seconds must pass between the pump coming on and the hotplate coming on
  - when the water reservoir becomes empty, the pump switches off, and the hotplate cannot stay on for more than 15 minutes more
State or condition timeline

以水平中轴为基准，将两个对象的时间图放到一起。这种复合的方式可以扩展到多个，但时间轴需要统一。

Fig. Timing diagram showing states as lines
Fig. Timing diagram showing states as areas

- Shows the value of the connectable element as a function of time. Value is explicitly denoted as text. Crossing reflects the event where the value changed.
Useful UML Web Sites

- UML home page, at www.uml.org
- UML Forum, at www.uml-forum.com
- The leading group, called U2P (UML 2.0 Partners), at www.u2-partners.org
- One of the proposing groups, the communityUML. See http://community-ml.org/submissions.htm
- A specific Web site for the 2U Consortium can be found at www.2uworks.org
- A specific Web site for the precise UML group (pUML) can be found at www.cs.york.ac.uk/puml/uml2_0.html
Thanks!
Appendix 1

- UML 2.0 RFI: 修订信息需求
- UML 2.0 RFP: 提案需求
- UML 2.0 Task Force: 工作组
- Object and Reference Model Subcommittee (ORMSC): 对象和参考模型小组委员会
Appendix 2

OMG's Technology Adoption Process

1. optional RFI stage
2. TF issues RFP, evaluates submissions
3. voting to Adopt an OMG specification
4. finalization - getting ready for prime time
5. the OMG specification maintenance Cycle
6. retiring Obsolete Specifications
Martin Flower

- Patterns of Enterprise Application Architecture
- Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code
- Planning Extreme Programming
- Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models
Concepts in UML 2.0 Behavior Part

- Actions
- Activities
- Interactions
- State Machines
- Use Cases
Actions

- An action is the *fundamental unit* of behavior specification.
- An action takes a set of inputs and converts them into a set of outputs:
  - either or both sets may be empty
  - the activity flow model supports providing inputs to actions from the outputs of other actions
- Some of the actions modify the state of the system in which the action executes.
Basic actions include those that perform *operation calls*, *signal sends*, and direct *behavior invocation*.

Primitive actions are defined so that they either carry out a computation or access object memory, but never both.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSC-2000</th>
<th>UML 2.0</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSC (Message Sequence Chart)</td>
<td>Interaction diagrams</td>
<td>The individual scenarios. MSC and UML have different approaches to language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>EventOccurrence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instance</td>
<td>Lifeline</td>
<td>Notice that a lifeline refers to a property (part) of a composite structure, while the instance is a part of a structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message</td>
<td>Message</td>
<td>Both distinguish between asynchronous and synchronizing messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method call</td>
<td>Operation call</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>ExecutionOccurrence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate</td>
<td>Gate</td>
<td>In UML we have only message gates, while in MSC there are also general ordering gates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No direct counterpart</td>
<td>Interaction fragment</td>
<td>See Interaction fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coregion</td>
<td>Coregion</td>
<td>In MSC this is a basic concept from 1992, but in UML 2.0 this is only presented as a shorthand for the par-operator. No semantic difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decomposition</td>
<td>PartDecomposition</td>
<td>How the aggregate hierarchy of the structure is reflected in interactions/MSCs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC reference</td>
<td>Interaction Occurrence</td>
<td>The ability to refer to another interaction. See also Referring another interaction / MSC diagram</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>